

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/672,943	09/26/2003	Chris Nunez	10486/6	9683
23483 7590 04/03/2007 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP 60 STATE STREET BOSTON, MA 02109			EXAMINER	
			WU, YICUN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2165	_
		19		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONT	THS.	04/03/2007	FL FCTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 04/03/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

teresa.carvalho@wilmerhale.com tina.dougal@wilmerhale.com michael.mathewson@wilmerhale.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/672,943	NUNEZ, CHRIS			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Yicun Wu	2165			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY IN THE MAILING DAY IN THE MAILING DAY IN THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	J. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ja	nuary 2007.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims		·			
4)🖂	4) Claim(s) 1-11 and 14-24 is/are pending in the application.					
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	∑ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 14-24</u> is/are rejected.					
	_					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers	·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[☐ All _b)					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachmen	t(s)		23-			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Space No/s\/Mail Date Colored Of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/672,943 Page 2

Art Unit: 2165

III. DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-11 and 14-24 are presented for examination.

Examiner's Remarks

2. In response to Applicants Amendments and remarks, Claim Rejections under 35 USC §

101 are hereby withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments presented in the reply to previous office action filed on 7-12-06,

with respect to the rejected claims 1-11 in view of the cited references have been fully

considered but they are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Applicant argues:

(1) claim 14 recites a keyword that includes a parameter and an associated parameter

value.

Examiner disagree.

With respect to the argument, the Examiner asserts that Applicant did not claim "a

keyword that includes a parameter and an associated parameter value" in Applicant's claim 14,

therefore, examiner believes that Gusack does teach Applicant's invention of "a file storage

system having an index of keywords".

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1-11 are objected to because of the following informalities: the Examiner is not

clear about the meaning of the claim. "...associated with the parameter."

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2165

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Gusack</u> (U.S. Patent 6,356,897) in view of <u>Angus et al.</u> (U.S. Patent 7,003,504).

As to Claim 1, <u>Gusack</u> discloses a method of retrieving data contained in a file storage system, comprising:

providing a keyword, wherein the keyword (<u>Gusack</u> Fig. 15 and Col. 19, lines 28-59) includes:

a parameter comprising a first portion of the keyword, the parameter identifying the data, the parameter describing an attribute of the data (<u>Gusack</u> Fig. 15 and Col. 19, lines 28-59); and a second portion of the keyword, being upon the data (<u>Gusack</u> Fig. 15 and Col. 19, lines 28-59).

Gusack does not explicitly teach parameter value and representing a particular quantity associated with the parameter; wherein the parameter and the parameter value are associated with the data contained in the file storage system; and, retrieving the data in response to one or more search criteria associated with at least one of the parameter and the parameter value.

Angus et al. teaches parameter value (fig.5) and representing a particular quantity (i.e. unit of volume. fig. 5) associated with the parameter (i.e. volume. fig. 5); wherein the parameter and the parameter value are associated with the data contained in the file storage system (fig. 5);

Art Unit: 2165

and, retrieving the data in response to one or more search criteria associated with at least one of the parameter and the parameter value (col. 33, lines 14-34).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Gusack to include parameter value and representing a particular quantity associated with the parameter; wherein the parameter and the parameter value are associated with the data contained in the file storage system; and, retrieving the data in response to one or more search criteria associated with at least one of the parameter and the parameter value.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Gusack by the teaching of Angus et al. to include parameter value and representing a particular quantity associated with the parameter; wherein the parameter and the parameter value are associated with the data contained in the file storage system; and, retrieving the data in response to one or more search criteria associated with at least one of the parameter and the parameter value with the motivation to more satisfactorily sustain business requirement as taught by Angus et al. (Col. 3 lines 1-25).

As to claim 2, Gusack as modified teaches: wherein the parameter is user-defined (Gusack Fig. 15-17 and Col. 19, lines 28-59).

As to claim 3, Gusack as modified teaches:

wherein the parameter and the parameter value are linked as a contiguous text string (Gusack Fig. 15 and Col. 19, lines 28-59).

Art Unit: 2165

As to claim 4, Gusack as modified teaches:

wherein the parameter further comprises a units designator, the units designator comprising a portion of the parameter, the units designator indicating the units of measurement for the parameter value (<u>Gusack</u> Fig. 15-17 and Col. 19, lines 28-59).

As to claim 5, Gusack as modified teaches

a pointer (i.e. link <u>Gusack</u> Fig. 15-17 and Col. 19, lines 2-59), the pointer comprising a third portion of the keyword, the pointer pointing of the data (<u>Gusack</u> Fig. 15-17 and Col. 19, lines 28-59).

As to claim 6, Gusack as modified teaches:

a plurality of keywords (<u>Gusack</u> Fig. 15-17 and Col. 19, lines 28-59), the plurality of keywords begin an index of the data pointed to by one or more pointers contained within at least one of the plurality of keywords (i.e. index. <u>Gusack</u> Fig. 15-17 and Col. 19, lines 28-59).

As to claim 7, Gusack as modified teaches:

wherein the data contained in the file storage system is semi-structured data (i.e. Hypertext. <u>Gusack</u> Fig. 15-17 and Col. 19, lines 28-59).

As to claim 8, Gusack as modified teaches

Art Unit: 2165

wherein the data contained in the file storage system is hypertext data (i.e. Hypertext. Gusack Fig. 15-17 and Col. 19, lines 28-59).

As to claim 9, Gusack as modified teaches

wherein the data contained in the file storage system is unstructured data (<u>Gusack</u> Fig. 15-17 and Col. 19, lines 28-59).

As to claim 10, Gusack as modified teaches

wherein the data contained in the file storage system is text data (i.e. Hypertext. <u>Gusack</u> Fig. 15-17 and Col. 19, lines 28-59).

As to claim 11, Gusack as modified teaches

wherein the keyword is a first keyword (<u>Gusack</u> Fig. 15-17 and Col. 19, lines 28-59), the data contained in the file storage system is first data contained in the file storage system and the parameter is a first parameter (<u>Gusack</u> Fig. 15-17 and Col. 19, lines 28-59), further comprising a second keyword (<u>Gusack</u> Fig. 15-17 and Col. 19, lines 28-59), the second keyword associated with second data contained in the file storage system(<u>Gusack</u> Fig. 15-17 and Col. 19, lines 28-59), the second keyword having a second parameter (<u>Gusack</u> Fig. 15-17 and Col. 19, lines 28-59), the second parameter not being a parameter of the first data contained in the file storage system (<u>Gusack</u> Fig. 15-17 and Col. 19, lines 28-59).

Art Unit: 2165

20).

4. Claims 14-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Gusack</u> (U.S. Patent 6,356,897) in view of Kessenich et al. (U.S. Patent 6,292,802).

As to Claim 14, <u>Gusack</u> discloses a file storage system having an index of keywords, each keyword having a parameter, a method of searching for data, comprising:

receiving a search criterion (i.e. search. <u>Gusack</u> Fig. 15-17 and Col. 20, lines 1-20), comparing (i.e. matching <u>Gusack</u> Fig. 15-17 and Col. 20, lines 1-20)the search criterion with the keywords in the index (<u>Gusack</u> Fig. 15-17 and Col. 19, lines 28-59), and presenting the results from the comparing step (<u>Gusack</u> Fig. 15-17 and Col. 20, lines 2-

Gusack does not explicitly teach parameter value.

Kessenich et al. teaches parameter value (Kessenich et al. Col. 13, lines 2-33).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Gusack</u> to include parameter value.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Gusack</u> by the teaching of <u>Kessenich et al.</u> to include parameter value with the motivation to more efficiently, indexed search capability to rapidly locate arbitrary text in a large document or collection of documents as taught by <u>Kessenich et al.</u> (Col. 3 lines 3-6).

As to claim 15 <u>Gusack</u> as modified teaches a method, wherein the search criterion further comprises

Art Unit: 2165

any combination of one or more members of the group of: a search criterion parameter, a search criterion parameter value, or a units designator for a search criterion parameter value (Gusack Fig. 15-17 and Col. 20, lines 2-20);

the search criterion parameter comprising a member of a set of all the parameters defined in the data contained in the file storage system (<u>Gusack</u> Fig. 15-17 and Col. 20, lines 2-20), the units designator indicating the units of measurement for the search criterion parameter value (<u>Gusack</u> Fig. 15-17 and Col. 20, lines 2-20).

As to claim 15 <u>Gusack</u> as modified teaches a method wherein comparing the search criterion with the keyword further comprises:

representing the search criterion as a contiguous text string (<u>Gusack</u> Fig. 15-17 and Col. 20, lines 2-20, col. 19, lines 10-29), and

comparing the contiguous text string with the keyword, using Boolean logic (<u>Gusack</u> Fig. 15-17 and Col. 20, lines 2-20, col. 19, lines 10-29).

As to claim 16 <u>Gusack</u> as modified teaches a method, wherein the search criterion further comprises

a parameter value for the parameter, the parameter value being based upon the data referred to by the parameter (<u>Gusack</u> Fig. 15-17 and Col. 20, lines 2-20, col. 19, lines 10-29).

As to claim 17 <u>Gusack</u> as modified teaches a method wherein the keyword further comprises

Art Unit: 2165

a units designator for the parameter value, the units designator indicating the units of measurement for the parameter value (<u>Gusack</u> Fig. 15-17 and Col. 20, lines 2-20, col. 19, lines 10-29).

As to claim 19 <u>Gusack</u> as modified teaches a method wherein the data contained in the file storage system is semi-structured data (<u>Gusack</u> Fig. 15-17 and Col. 20, lines 2-20, col. 19, lines 10-29).

As to claim 20 <u>Gusack</u> as modified teaches a method wherein the data contained in the file storage system is hypertext data (<u>Gusack</u> Fig. 15-17 and Col. 20, lines 2-20, col. 19, lines 10-29).

As to claim 21 <u>Gusack</u> as modified teaches a method wherein the data contained in the file storage system is unstructured data (<u>Gusack</u> Fig. 15-17 and Col. 20, lines 2-20, col. 19, lines 10-29).

As to claim 22 <u>Gusack</u> as modified teaches a method, wherein the data contained in the file storage system is text data (<u>Gusack</u> Fig. 15-17 and Col. 20, lines 2-20, col. 19, lines 10-29).

As to claim 23 <u>Gusack</u> as modified teaches a method wherein the parameter is user-defined (<u>Gusack</u> Fig. 15-17 and Col. 20, lines 2-20, col. 19, lines 10-29).

Art Unit: 2165

As to claim 24 <u>Gusack</u> as modified teaches 24. The method of claim 14, wherein the keyword is a first keyword, the data contained in the file storage system is first data contained in the file storage system and the parameter is a first parameter (<u>Gusack</u> Fig. 15-17 and Col. 20, lines 2-20, col. 19, lines 10-29), further comprising a second keyword, the second keyword associated with second data contained in the file storage system, the second keyword having a second parameter (<u>Gusack</u> Fig. 15-17 and Col. 20, lines 2-20, col. 19, lines 10-29), the second parameter not being a parameter of the first data contained in the file storage system (<u>Gusack</u> Fig. 15-17 and Col. 20, lines 2-20, col. 19, lines 10-29).

Page 10

Art Unit: 2165

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL, Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory- period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136 (a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply-expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Points of contact

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yicun Wu whose telephone number is 571-272-4087. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am to 4:30 pm, Monday -Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on 571-272-4146. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2100.

Yicun Wu

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2100

March 28, 2007